

NVIC ADVOCACY PORTAL

VACCINE CULTURE WAR MYTH #5

66 It is ethical for government to sacrifice the few for the many. ??

Based on hedonism 1 and a mathematical equation, this philosophy is called utilitarianism 2 3 and comes from the word "utility." 4 The utilitarian rationale was created as a guide for legislators making public policy by an 18th century British social reformer Jeremy Bentham, 56 whose mummified head is being preserved by the University College London, where a wax version is on public display. 7 In 1905, the U.S. Supreme Court used Bentham's utilitarian rationale to rule in Jacobson v. Massachusetts that state legislatures had the power to enact mandatory smallpox vaccination laws. 8

American Eugenicists, U.S. Supreme Court Embraces Utilitarianism

American eugenicists and social reformers embraced utilitarianism in the early 20th century. 9 10 They argued that government has the legal right to discriminate against a minority of citizens judged to be genetically defective or a threat to the health and welfare of society. In 1923, U.S. Supreme Court justice Oliver Wendall Holmes 11 gave the green light to the state of Virginia to forcibly sterilize a young women, Carrie Buck, because doctors judged her to be mentally retarded just like her daughter and mother.

Coldly, Holmes proclaimed: "three generations of imbeciles are enough" and said "The principle that sustains compulsory vaccination is broad enough to cover cutting the fallopian tubes." 12 It turns out Carrie Buck was not mentally retarded and neither was her daughter or mother. 13

Utilitarianism Pseudo-Ethic In Its Most Extreme Form

Doctors and public health officials in the Third Reich implemented utilitarianism in its most extreme form to justify conducting horrific scientific experiments on captive people. 14 15 The Nuremberg Tribunal justices presiding over The Doctors Trial after World War II declared utilitarianism to be a pseudo ethic. They issued the Nuremberg Code outlining the informed consent principle, 16 17 which has guided research on humans and the ethical practice of medicine ever since. 18 19 20

Forced Vaccination Laws Sacrifice the Genetically Vulnerable

The Institute of Medicine has confirmed that some individuals have known and unknown genetic risk factors that make them more susceptible to vaccine injury and death. 21 This means forced vaccination laws that fail to include flexible medical and non-medical exemptions become a utilitarian, de facto selection of the genetically vulnerable for sacrifice. ²²

Is America really going to walk down that road? Are we going to punish citizens for the genes they were born with in the name of the public health? Are we going to slide down the slippery slope of utilitarianism and ignore the profound ethical and legal questions that remain: Who gets to decide what protects the public health? Which individuals should be sacrificed? And how many is too many?

Visit www.NVICAdvocacy.org Today and Join Other Concerned Americans to Protect Informed Consent Rights.

References

- ¹ Moore A. Hedonism. The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy 2013.
- ² Merriam Webster Dictionary. Definition of utilitarianism: philosophy: the belief that a morally good action is one that helps the greatest number of people.
- 3 Kay CD. Notes on Utilitarianism. Wofford College Department of Philosophy 1997.
- ⁴Merriam-Webster Dictionary. Definition of utility: the quality or state of being useful; fitness for some purpose or worth to some end.
- 5 Utilitarian Philosopy.com. Utilitarian Philosophy.
- ⁶ Crimmins JE. Bentham on Religion: Atheism and the Secular Society. Journal of the History of Ideas 1986; 47(1). University of Pennsylvania Press.
- ⁷ University College London. Bentham's Head.
- ⁸ Jacobson v. Massachusetts. 197 U.S. 11(1905). LSU Law Center.
- 9 Black E. The Horrifying American Roots of Nazi Eugenics. History News Network Nov. 24, 2003. (George Mason University).
- ¹⁰ Tolerance.org. American Gothic: Race and Ethnicity. Teaching Tolerance Magazine 2003; 23.
- ¹¹ Foner E, Garraty JA, Editors. Oliver Wendall Homes, Jr. The Readers Companion to American History 1991.
- 12 U.S. Supreme Court. Buck v Bell (No. 292). Decided May 2, 1927. Cornell University Law School.
- ¹³ Lombardo P. Three Generations, No Imbeciles: Eugenics, The Supreme Court and Buck v. Bell. The

- Johns Hopkins University Press 2008
- ¹⁴ Seidelman WE. Nuremberg lamentation: for the forgotten victims of medical science.British Medical Journal 1996; 313: 1463-1467.
- 15 Schultz JJ. The Doctor's Dilemma: The Utilitarian Medical Ethics of Nazi Physician Karl Brandt. University of Toronto Journal 2013; 90(4): 176.
- ¹⁶ Annas GJ, Grodin MA. The Nazi Doctors and the Nuremberg Code. Oxford University Press 1992
- ¹⁷ Grodin MA, Annas GJ. Legacies of Nuremberg Medical Ethics and Human Rights. JAMA 1996; 276(20): 1682-1683.
- ¹⁸ Cohen J, Ezer T. Human rights in patient care: A theoretic and practical framework. Health and Human
- ¹⁹ Council of Europe. Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Dignity of the Human Being with regard to the Application of Biology and Medicine: Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine. Apr. 4,
- ²⁰ Nir E. Informed Consent. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy Sept. 21, 2011.
- ²¹ See References #146-155.
- ²² Fisher BL. Vaccination: Your Right to Know and Freedom to Choose. Keynote speech at U.S. Health Freedom Congress, Hamline University, Minneappolis/St, Paul Sept. 27, 2014.